

The Swatara Township Board of Commissioners held a Special Meeting for public input on Reapportionment at 7 PM on Tuesday, January 13, 2015, at the Swatara Township Administration Building, 599 Eisenhower Boulevard, Swatara, PA 17111.

Members of the Board present were: Commissioners Connolly, Varner, Spandler, Chiavetta, Troxell, Milakovic, Weikle, Moyer, and Boudier. Also present: Administrator Cornell, Assistant Administrator Zimmerman, Solicitor Wion, Treasurer Fosselman, Tax Collector Donato, Chief Umberger, and Secretary Rubinic.

1. Commissioners Comments: President Moyer asked if any Board members had comments at this time. There being none, he opened the floor to public comment. He asked that anyone wishing to comment come to the podium, state name and address, and remember there is a 3 minute time limit, with a 30 second notice of time left.
2. Public Comments:
Mike Farling, 620 Rutland Drive - stated he was disappointed in the Board and the decision to adopt a resolution to go to an at-large system, even before the second public meeting was not yet held. He asked Commissioner Varner why he proposed this ordinance in a back room meeting. cv replied that his motion was to draft an ordinance, nothing was adopted and the public still has time to comment on it. Commissioner Varner said from the beginning he has made it quite clear where he stands; this is something that has been discussed for years, it's not a new topic. And he thought it was time for action. The meeting was not a "backroom" meeting; it's a public meeting and votes are taken all the time back there. There were people in attendance. Commissioner Weikle noted that when the Board borrowed \$10.4 million, it was also during a backroom meeting; all business is conducted at the two monthly meetings and open to the public.
Mr. Farling cited President Moyer's quote in the paper concerning continuing discussion and said the Board has gone beyond discussing--they've made a proposal. He said it seems like the public's input is meaningless to the Board, and he thinks that is wrong. He questioned Commissioner Spandler on not being available to meet with Commissioner Connolly to discuss this.
James Cavanaugh, 3790 Dawn-Mar Street-said if there are 5 Commissioners at-large, 51% of the voters can elect 5 white Republicans from the same neighborhood; and that is not representative government to him. He would like to see wards with a reasonable balance of population, which is not what we have now.
Brian Faleshock, 966 Lark Drive - said the ward system in our Township hasn't been working. He said to look back 30 years when Chambers Hill should have had sewers installed, but the Commissioner from Chambers Hill was outvoted by the other 8; and Chambers Hill still doesn't have sewer. There are other things over the

past years that happened that shouldn't have happened and things that should have happened that didn't, because Commissioners were not held responsible for the Township as a whole. If there are 5 people on the Board they are all responsible for every part of the Township. Right now one Commissioner can say I need XYZ and the other 8 say no, I don't care; and it doesn't happen. That's not representative of what should happen with the elected officials. He commends the Commissioners and the public for coming here, but it is time for change. The ward system truly isn't working. If we sit down, put all the politics away, and realize this will actually help us as a Township.

Nan Cavanaugh, 3790 Dawn-Mar Street-said she thinks the number 5 is too small; and that a ward system does not necessarily mean represent only your own ward; you have to be generalist as well as local. She feels a system with only 5 is not a broad representation; it will cost more money to run for office, and the service given to individuals will be less personalized. Local government is the place where you can still have some kind of relationship with your elected officials. Going to 5 people makes that relationship harder to have and you are guaranteeing that minorities and women are less likely to be elected. She urged looking at other alternatives before restricting to that small number of people to do the business of the Township.

Mark Caruso, 7201 Jefferson Street - said he represents Ward 6 on the Planning Commission. The Board has a difficult task as the Township grows--drawing the lines and segmenting everything out. But the reapportionment issue needs to be looked at now or in the very near future. Reapportionment at large gives residents the best option; it gives the public a voice and voters can vote for all the seats that are up for election. It will increase and create a deeper pool of qualified candidates which will create a more impartial focus and operation. It would be a cost-savings measure to reduce candidates. Better representation--this will allow 5 Commissioners to focus on the Township as a whole and not just their small piece. This would streamline the Township communication from 5 rather than 9, and all Commissioners would be united across the Township giving the residents a more committed and more dedicated Commissioner.

Darryl Taylor, 4701 Lawntara - said he would like to see 7 Commissioners and feels the ward system is the best, and you have better communication with constituents. He had comments about borrowing money to pay the bills instead of doing a small tax increase. The Commissioners need to think about the people who put them in office; and you're supposed to make their lives better.

James Cavanaugh, 3790 Dawn-Mar Street-suggested that the at-large candidates be required to live in their ward or district. He was told this could not be because by law, there would be only one ward--the entire Township.

Barbara Eitner, 3776 Derry Street-questioned why the Board was considering redistricting because of the growth in the community, but the solution is to lessen representation. She feels it will increase politics; money wins elections. She said at this local level the Commissioners are the first go-to. She feels it is disrespectful to eliminate areas and neighborhoods. She disagrees that the at-large system opens up the potential of smart, important, wealthy, etc. candidates. Swatara Township has the same amount of educated, professional, committed, dedicated people across the board--not just in one area. We will not get representation across the board; we will not get diversity; we will not get women.

Dave Milletics, 533 Sweetbriar Drive-said he is for the at-large system because he has seen politics in the past--if you want something done, you go to your representative; if the others didn't like it you didn't get it done. An at-large system takes the Township as a whole, everybody has a role--there's not 9 mayors that you see up here. I don't like the race issue being brought up here; it doesn't belong. These guys were elected by everybody out here, whether you voted for them or not, they were elected. If you go at-large you'll see more diversity, minorities can pool together through the Township as a whole and not just draw votes from your local ward. By going to the Township as a whole everybody has a chance to vote for someone from Oberlin, Rutherford, Chambers Hill or wherever--the Township is one then; it's not 9 little entities. It's one; we have never become that in over 175 years; it's still 9 little entities.

Phyllis Bennett, 902 Hamilton Circle - said she was so disappointed that the Commissioners turned around and said they want to go with an at-large system regardless of what the public says. She said she will get the community to vote all of the Commissioners that vote for this out of office. She said she didn't feel represented when she lived in Lower Paxton Township under an at-large system. She doesn't think it saves any money; taxes will not go down and wages will not go up because of an at-large system. It will not increase ethnicity or diversity. She said she is absolutely furious that the Board did not keep its word and said shame on you, you lied to us.

Commissioner Troxell - apologized to Ms. Bennett, saying he was disappointed at the actions taken at the last meeting. He said the leadership of the Board and the administration is letting people down.

Cynthia James, 924 High Street - said she was ashamed at being ignorant of what is going on; she had to get information on PennLive. She had questions about the process. Commissioner Spandler explained how the process would start with the odd numbered wards because of the election year cycle we are in.

Phyllis Bennett, 902 Hamilton Circle - said the real reason behind this is because there are two Commissioners who are moving out of their wards--Weikle and Moyer. President Moyer said he addressed this at the last meeting--he is not moving. He will have more to say in his comments.

Allen Kennedy Shaffer, Harrisburg - said he is a civil rights attorney. He had comments about the "race card". An all white, all male board is clearly not representing the community. He sees there is an issue with the current numbers in the wards, when some wards have more than twice the residents than others. To him that says that some people here have half as much representation as some of the others; and that's a problem. He noted there is only one Democrat out of 9 Commissioners and that too is a problem given the demographics of Swatara Township--it says that this Board of Commissioners is not representing the community. He is here to urge the Board to listen and to look at the community and then look at yourselves.

Elizabeth Jones, 3878 Dawn-Mar Street - said she is against the 5 at-large and is for the 9 wards and Commissioners. She likes the idea of knowing her Commissioner personally. She would like to see 9 Commissioners or 7 Commissioners.

Tony Spagnolo, 291 N. 50th Street - apologized to all the constituents that he is the one that stirred the pot to get the reapportionment done because the wards are not in conformance with the law. It's been 34 years since this was done. He was on the bi-partisan committee who initially looked at realigning the 9 wards; then they looked at dividing into 7 wards, and finally the at-large option. He shared that in the past there were at least three women on the Board and one was of color; and they were all very good Commissioners. If you want to run for office, it only takes 10 signatures; you file at the courthouse and do your thing.

This Board took a straw vote and decided to drive the ordinance for at-large; these gentlemen have that choice. Future Board's can look at this again after the next census and decide to make a change; it's not a case where it will be set for 30 years, hopefully. We knew the census numbers were not accurate; but that's what we had to work with.

Keith Glenn, 203 N. Harrisburg Street - said he does not agree with 5 at-large. He thinks there's a better way with 9 Commissioners. He does not believe Commissioner Connolly pulls the race card; he speaks facts.

Rogette Harris, Lower Paxton Township-- said the issue of diversity is not a small issue. She said courts have struck down at-large systems because of the lack of diversity. Diversity is not just racial, but gender as well. She thought there would be three public meetings and everyone would have a right to speak their opinion before a decision was not made. Why was this not honored? President Moyer

replied that the vote was 5-4, so 4 people said no. We are still having 3 meetings; we are in the public comment phase. All our ordinances require public comment and we are in that phase right now. We have not voted to enact 5 at-large; we will have a third meeting before the public comment period is done. It's the way we conduct business on all our ordinances--just like our budget; our budget is posted and we do not vote on it until you all have had a public comment period. It's the same thing we do all the time. Commissioner Troxell interrupted and voiced comments about being railroaded.

Ms. Harris continued her comments stating she is the chair of the Dauphin County Democratic Committee and is concerned about the political implications as well. They are looking into the legal ramifications of what took place last week. She also feels an at-large system becomes a money game and you don't have a one-on-one touch with your representative.

Mark Fenice, 501 Rosewood Lane - asked what happened with a vote at the last meeting. President Moyer replied a motion was made to draft an ordinance to go to 5 at-large and do the 20-day posting for public comment. Mr. Fenice said the issue has already been decided without the three meetings for public comment. He does not understand the Board doing what it's doing. He asked why the ordinance has to be in place before. President Moyer replied that Mr. Spagnolo stated in today's paper that he will take us to court if we don't take action by February. What has happened in the past is previous boards have stalled on acting on this claiming--we're a new board, we don't know what the information is, we're not voting yes to it, let's wait til the next board comes in--and the can keeps getting kicked down the road. Mr. Fenice asked about the Township's costs to do this. President Moyer replied to go at-large, about \$4,000 for a survey to list the boundaries of the Township as a whole; to change the wards is between \$30,000 and \$50,000. These cost factors were identified to the public at the last special meeting. Commissioner Connolly commented about spreading out these costs over the last 30 years and hopefully after the 2020 census comes out; it wouldn't have to be done again. It's not uncommon to realign wards or districts every 10 years based on changes in population; and at higher levels, there's always accusations of gerrymandering. The Township is so far out of balance that the 9 wards do need to be looked at. The one ward has 1389 residents and the ward Commissioner Connolly represents has the most at 3422. He said his stake in this is a fairness issue. He is in favor of the 9 ward system and is not in jeopardy because he's only been in office 1 year. Mr. Fenice agreed there needs to be a realignment of the wards, but opposes the at-large system. He said the money issue becomes prevalent and influences what members represent; and if you're not going to represent people, you should not be on the board.

Speaker did not identify himself - asked why a resolution was not made to realign the Township to 7 wards. President Moyer replied that motion was not made; Commissioner Varner made a motion for the at-large system. At the last meeting the Board heard a semi-equal amount of yes and no, not a vast difference; and it's the Board's job to make a decision.

Allen Kennedy Shaffer - said he sees the Board feels cornered by potential legal action because lines haven't been redrawn in 30 years. He suggested taking time to redraw the lines, have adequate public comment, adequate posting, and documentation available to the public. President Moyer responded that with redrawing the ward lines the traditional neighborhoods will be broken up. The natural boundaries--322, the rail yard, Eisenhower Boulevard--will be broken up. The lady who lives by Mushroom Hill would have a representative who lives by the golf course--that's a very real possibility. A person could live off 80th Street and have their representative in Rutherford by the Turkey Hill. That's part of the problem and why it's been so difficult and been ignored for 34 years; we're unable to keep the natural neighborhoods and the natural boundaries. Also, as Commissioner Connolly brought up, every ten years it is up for scrutiny and re-evaluation, along with the fact that it can be challenged. It's been said there's 9 white males up here, no women, no minorities--it's been that way for 20-some years-- apparently the ward system isn't giving the diversity that you're wanting. We're offering a new method to try out, to see if we can unite--unite groups across the whole Township. If the women all unite to put a woman in throughout the Township, I think they have the votes to put a woman in.

Commissioner Chiavetta said in his former position as election director he had been involved in reapportionment numerous times and it can be done and done right.

Barbara Eitner, 3776 Derry Street-said taxpayer's money is wasted on drawing up the ordinance without the benefit of input and she feels it is offensive. She questioned how 5 people can give better representation to a larger community. Will each one be responsible for 5,000 people or will you all be jointly responsible for the 25,000--how does that increase my communication with the Board? And how will the committees work; how can less people handle the job that has been increased? Her last comment was that this is 2015, in 2020 will more money be wasted--you waited this long, you can wait longer to thoroughly investigate this matter. She urged the Board to draft the ordinance based on public opinion not their own opinion.

Mike Farling, 620 Rutland Drive - asked about the 20 days for public comment. Solicitor Wion said there are a number of misconceptions legally about all this. When this Board acts in a legislative capacity, you draft an ordinance which must be advertised 7 days before the meeting at which it is considered. As directed at the last

meeting, the ordinance will be advertised for that 7 day period. The 20 days comes from when Commissioner Troxell indicated we would have 3 meetings for public to comment whether or not an ordinance has been advertised or not. That is occurring; this is the second meeting and he assumes the third meeting will be held. The thrust of having the ordinance considered at the February 4 meeting is to meet the February 17 date for people to get their petitions to run for the 3 at-large positions, if the at-large system is passed by ordinance. If the Township goes to the 5 at-large system, all the voting precincts and polling places would remain the same. If the wards are changed, you have to go the election bureau and have the election districts redrawn for the new wards; the election bureau then takes that plan to the court for approval. That cannot happen for this election and would be pushed off until the next election cycle. Commissioner Chiavetta said this means that February 17 is the deadline; if it's not then it doesn't go through for this election.

Mr. Farling said he thought that the 20 days was a critical point, but as the Solicitor has identified, there is a 7-day rule, to approve this to get it in time for the next election. Commissioner Troxell said the motion passed indicated following the conclusion of the public meetings the Commissioners would meet within 10 days and will draft the ordinance. He is arguing that the ordinance does not comply with the motion passed. Solicitor Wion said it does not have to comply; this Board can change its decision at any time. There were still questions about the 20 days.

Solicitor Wion said the motion suggested that, but this body can change its mind tonight if you want to have a different motion than was passed; there's nothing that freezes this body's ability to act. When you make motions, they can be changed by someone else making a new motion; that's way parliamentary bodies act. Solicitor Wion said the 20 days has been mentioned, but he doesn't recall a 20-day period being involved at all which is why he wanted to correct the concept to the 7-day advertisement.

Commissioner Connolly questioned keeping the existing voting precincts. Solicitor Wion clarified how the election districts function in a ward system where they must conform to the ward boundaries. If you have just one ward, you can keep the election districts and the polling places. If you change to a new 9 or 7, you have to change the election districts too and that has to go before the courts. Commissioner Chiavetta said the Dauphin County Election Board would make the decision to add another precinct or add more machines. This would be an issue with no changes, if the Board decides to go at-large; they could get this done by February 17.

Phil Spaseff, 1520 Braewood Drive - said he is opposed to at-large; he would like to see 7 instead of 5. The Commissioners are part-time. Can 5 handle all the committee assignments as opposed to 7 and do the job you are elected for? President Moyer replied that some of the committees are split out that probably could be put

together. Commissioner Troxell feels not; he thinks that right now we have the right amount of committees for the right amount of Commissioners.

Phyllis Bennett, 902 Hamilton Circle - asked how many Commissioners are not going to run; they replied they will all file. All 5 of the odd ward seats will be up for reelection, but only three candidates will be elected. Ms. Bennett wondered how this will give any other people an opportunity to run when incumbency has its privileges and perks. Commissioner Weikle said to keep in mind that anyone in the Township can run against the 5 incumbents, not just in their ward. So even if you're in Ward 2 who is not up for re-election, you will have three votes for three candidates. Ms. Bennett expressed concerns that this redistricting is taking place because the Township is getting more Democrats and this has been a Republican stronghold for years. She feels this is a method to keep the Democrats from being elected. President Moyer said again that the current system isn't working for you. The benefit is that more Democrats are moving in, but they're not moving in to just one ward; they are in all the wards so you can unite and get a Democratic candidate elected.

Bryan Beistline, 545 Yale Street - said forget the legal aspect, he thinks the public is upset with the Board morally for not following through with having the 3 meetings before making a decision. He recommends making a motion to rescind that until you hear the 3 meetings from the public. He thinks the perception is that the Board's minds are already made up to go at-large. President Moyer replied that we keep hearing what is wrong with the Board; so the current system has left them down. When we have meetings and have our finance meetings and people come in and demand things like a summer playground program, but when it came time for a vote Commissioners said no to it. It didn't matter that there were people in the room that said I want this and I don't care if you raise my taxes, Commissioners look at it and said I don't think it's the right thing for the Township as a whole. That's part of the job when you sit on this side of the table; looking at all the information and making the right decision even when it's hard. This process--whether the wards or at-large system--is not 100% right or wrong answer; this is what is the best answer. Commissioner Chiavetta made a motion to wait until the three meetings are over and then take action on the ordinance. Commissioner Boudier seconded the motion. Commissioner Troxell moved to amend the motion to suspend any work on the ordinance until the 3 meetings occur. Commissioner Boudier seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken; the motion failed 4-5 with Commissioners Varner, Spandler, Milakovic, Weikle, and Moyer voting no.

A roll call vote was called on Commissioner Chiavetta's original motion; the motion failed 4-5 with Commissioners Varner, Spandler, Milakovic, Weikle, and Moyer voting no.

Bryan Beistline, said he appreciates the effort to make the motion. He said he has the utmost respect for all 9 Commissioners. He was rumored to run against Bob Spandler; but does not want to deal with what these 9 go through, so will not run. However he feels that what the 5 Commissioners have just done is told the people that you don't care. He feels that was a very bad message. Commissioner Weikle said that the motion that was put out had no effect--we're going to have a third meeting before any vote is taken.

Brian Faleshock, asked for a little time on another aspect of the democratic process. He and Mr. Roberts are the representatives to the Central Dauphin School Board. There are 84,000 people in the School District and about 20 people show up to meetings. He encouraged people to attend a public forum on an important pension issue that will be held on February 3 in the CD East auditorium at 7 PM.

Alicia Smith, 3837 Brisban Street - asked if the pros and cons of this issue have been put into writing for the public to have a better understanding. President Moyer said this was presented at the first meeting. She said she appreciates the importance of knowing her Commissioner and the responsiveness of her Commissioner.

Dave Milletics - said these 9 people represent 25,000 people in the Township; those here tonight are a very small percentage of that. They are also getting phone calls and other input; don't feel like you're not being heard or they're ignoring you. Those people who couldn't be here still have a voice and these gentlemen are listening to them.

Mike Farling, 620 Rutland Drive - suggested having the next meeting on February 17.

James Cavanaugh, 3790 Dawn-Mar Street-suggested creating three districts each with three Commissioners. This is not permitted by law.

Bill Roberts, 1084 Highland Street - said he is against 5 at-large. He is on the School Board and the Sewer Authority and knows and represents his district. They all have a voice as to what is happening in their neighborhood. Administrator Cornell has some information for Mr. Roberts on problems with students walking in the streets.

3. Commissioners Comments:

Commissioner Troxell thanked everyone for coming and urged them to come to the next meeting and bring their friends. He apologized for the perception that we created. He ran hard for his office and still goes around knocking on doors. He said what is happening here has not happened in the state--to go from 9 wards to 5 at-large--and there is no case law on this. He feels the need to slow this process down. He wants to remain with the 9 wards. He announced he will be running again and asked for support.

Commissioner Varner said it's a fact that in past elections two incumbent women were voted out with the current system. Also, it's clear that we are not just thinking of ourselves. With the amount of people running we can't expect everyone to be re-elected. It was also stated that there are educated intelligent people across the Township. He completely agrees with that, which is why at-large offers a better alternative and a new approach. Commissioner Troxell just said he won with less money, so that shows every candidate does not have to spend a boatload of money to get elected.

Commissioner Weikle - said Commissioner Troxell is saying we had a balanced budget. We did not have a balanced budget; we borrowed money out of savings to pay our bills for this coming year. We also borrowed \$10.4 million to buy some highway trucks and do some paving that is desperately needed. So don't be fooled; we did not have a balanced budget. We did not raise taxes because there's an election coming up. That's why I voted no, because I'd rather have a little tax increase than a huge one next year. As far as 5 at-large, he thinks we need a bigger pool of people to run for these positions. We need people from businesses that understand budgeting. Commissioner Weikle is here because of a huge love for the fire departments. He goes on fire calls any time day or night, because he cares for the Township; and anyone who thinks his opinion is self-serving couldn't be more wrong.

Commissioner Boudier - repeated what he has said previously--in all his college government courses his professors emphasized that government closest to the people is the most effective. He emphasized that in his 34 years of teaching civics; the ward system is the most effective and responsive to the people. He can appreciate his fellow Commissioners having a difference of opinion on this issue, but finds it reprehensible that the movement done at last week's meeting pushed this issue forward before this second public meeting took place. He hopes the people remember this. To Mr. Faleshock, he said--just because I was elected by a ward, I think you are insinuating that I'm not interested in the whole Township. In his 20 years he has emphasized working for all the Township not just the 9th Ward.

Commissioner Connolly said he has offered to look at the numbers. He reviewed the action 34 years ago where the wards were increased from 7 to 9 due to the population increase. Now we are looking at going from 9 to 5 when the population could possibly have doubled since 1981. Just that alone doesn't really make sense. He and Commissioner Spandler are going to attempt to meet and get some numbers closer for the 9 ward system. He thanked everyone for coming regardless of their views. He also thanked the Reapportionment Committee for their work in getting to the numbers they did. He has a theory that pushing some people into other wards might have threatened Republican seats. As the population changed in the

Township, the racial make-up changed especially in the areas bordering Harrisburg and Steelton. He had figures from the School District: CD East High School has 64% minority students, Swatara Middle School has 62% minority students, and Tri-Community has 72% minority students.

In response to comments about a "race card", Commissioner Connolly noted his past efforts with a Martin Luther King Day of community service, an Earth Day cleanup, a Back-to-School cleanup, and had to cancel the Thanksgiving Day cleanup due to snow. The second annual Martin Luther King Day of service will be held next week. These are events open to those of any race.

He also noted where he questioned an incident concerning disciplining a firefighter who happened to be African American; these were questions that had to be asked. He's spoken up on police and crime and asked for statics on age, gender, and race. He believes the 9 ward system with the population divided equally is the best system. If the only option is what the Committee did, it is still a huge improvement over where they started. And if the at-large goes through, we would still have 7 people for two years. But he does think that going at large reduces minority participation and takes a group that has a big footprint in a smaller area and puts them in a bigger pot. He didn't realize initially that we working against a deadline. He agrees to the three meetings. He fully respects the Board members and the majority rule. The 9 members seem to work together on a lot of things; the public has only seen this one issue that we don't work together on. So don't think we're at odds all the time.

Commissioner Chiavetta - said he has talked to numerous people in the 4th Ward and he has voted the way they want him to vote. He wanted Mr. Faleshock to know he represents the whole Township, not just the 4th Ward.

Mr. Faleshock said for the record he stated what happened 30 years ago with sewer. He did not mean to imply this was happening now.


Commissioner Milakovic - thanked everyone for coming and all the comments on both sides. He thinks at-large is better for the voters because they'd be able to vote for more than one Commissioner at each election. This is better representation. He feels there is more of an opportunity for diversity. He is not running this year, because he's in an even ward; but he did run twice and was unopposed. So the people in the 6th Ward had no other choices. This is a big issue and whenever this is over no matter how it goes, we'll all go back and work together like the gentlemen that we are for the good of the Township.

Commissioner Spandler - thanked everyone for coming and thanked the Board of Commissioners. As Commissioner Connolly said, we are voting two of our own out. Commissioner Spandler is strongly for the at-large system. Seeing how it works across the state, 72% of the first-class townships are at large. Almost all second-class

townships are at-large. He has had competition in his elections; he welcomes competition. He feels we can draw more people to run; and it should be that way. Some of us stay because no one runs against us and it was that way for a long time. By going at-large it gives the opportunity for more people to be a Commissioner in this Township.

President Moyer - thanked all. He said he gets to be the lightning rod for a lot of this. It's his job to keep the Board moving. It's his job to allow motions to be placed; he cannot stop motions from being placed on the floor. From what he's heard and seen the ward system is not working the way it should be and he is open to having a different option.

4. Next Meeting Date: Commissioner Spandler moved to hold the next Special Meeting on Tuesday, January 27, at 7 PM. Commissioner Varner seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and the motion carried 5-4 with Commissioners Connolly, Chiavetta, Troxell, and Boudier voting no.
5. Adjournment: Commissioner Spandler moved to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Weikle and carried unanimously. The Special Meeting was adjourned at 9:16 PM.



Dolores M. Rubinic, Township Secretary